

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/623,482 07/18/2003 Michael A. Todd ASMEX.376A 4562 **EXAMINER** 20995 7590 02/10/2006 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP POMPEY, RON EVERETT 2040 MAIN STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|----|
| 10/623,482 | TODD ET AL. | PM |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Ron E. Pompey | 2812 | |

| z or or or ming or min appears zero. | Cxammer | Alt Ollit | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | Ron E. Pompey | 2812 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 03 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| f). | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date or been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | and the corresponding amount of the fee. tatutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension of (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal | of the appeal. | | |
| AMENDMENTS | The state of the s | | h | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further or | | | because | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel | | 71 L BC10117), | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a | | ejected claims. | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a) | | ompliant Amandman | + (DTOL 324) | | |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | | omphant Amendmen | (F10L-324). | | |
| 5. Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling | | | | | |
| the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr |) | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22,38-57,105 and 106</u> . | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered | | | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | avit or other evidence | is necessary | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | eal and/or appellant fo | ails to provide a | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | ion of the status of the claims after | entry is below or atta | ched. | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered been See Continuation Sheet. | out does NOT place the application | in condition for allow | ance because: | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s |). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s). | 1 | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | |
| MICHAEL LEBENTRITT | | | | | |
| SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that the Todd et al. reference is discounted due to the fact that it would be considered a 102(e) reference and the Todd invention and the present applicants' invention were commoly owned at the time. Therefore under the 35 U.S.C. 103(c) statue, subject matter under 102 (e), (f) and (g) are precluded from being used as prior art of commonly owned inventions. However, because the publishing date of Todd is earlier than the effective filing date of applicants' invention, as also stated in applicant's remarks page 6, last paragrph, received 1-3-06, Todd can be applied under 102(a) and therefore is not precluded from being used as prior art against the present applicant't invention.